

Third quantization of $f(R)$ -type gravity II - General $f(R)$ case -

Yoshiaki Ohkuwa¹ and Yasuo Ezawa²

¹ Section of Mathematical Science, Dept. of Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Miyazaki, Kihara 5200, Kiyotake-cho, Miyazaki, 889-1692, Japan

² Dept. of Physics, Ehime university, 2-5 Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama, 790-8577, Japan

Email : ohkuwa@med.miyazaki-u.ac.jp, ezawa@phys.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp

Abstract

In the previous paper we examined the third quantization of the $f(R)$ -type gravity and studied the Heisenberg uncertainty relation of the universe in the example of $f(R) = R^2$. In this work the Heisenberg uncertainty relation of the universe is investigated in the general $f(R)$ -type gravity where tachyonic states are avoided. It is shown that, at late times namely the scale factor of the universe is large, the spacetime becomes classical, and, at early times namely the scale factor of the universe is small, the quantum effects dominate.

PACS numbers : 04.50.Kd, 04.60.Ds, 98.80.Qc

1 Introduction

Investigations of $f(R)$ -type gravity arises from two main motivations. In early stage, such theories were interested in because of their theoretical advantages. The theory of graviton is renormalizable[1][2]. It seems to be possible to avoid the initial singularity of the universe predicted by the theorem proved by Hawking[3][4]. And inflationary model without inflaton field is possible[5]. Another motivation began after the discovery of the accelerated expansion of the universe[6] [7][8] and large value of the dark energy density[8], and such theories have been attracting much attention[9][10][11][12].

Quantum mechanical aspects of the theory are mainly applied to cosmology, namely quantum cosmology[13], and black holes[14]. The fundamental equation describing the dynamics of the universe is the Wheeler-DeWitt equation(WDW eq.) which is the differential equation for the wave function of the universe[15]. However, it is well known that, in general, WDW eq. has the problem that the probabilistic interpretation is difficult as in the case of the Klein-Gordon equation. One of the proposed ideas to solve this problem is the third quantization in analogy with the quantum field theory [16][17][18][19][20] [21][22][23][24][25] [26][27][28][29] [14][30][31][32]. Then the third-quantized universe theory describes a system of many universes. Third quantization is useful to describe bifurcating universes and merging universes, if an interacting term is introduced in the Lagrangian for the third quantization.

The quantum cosmology of the $f(R)$ -type gravity using WDW eq. has already been studied [13]. As noted above the third quantized version is desirable, and the third quan-

tization of $f(R)$ -type gravity was also investigated in Ref.[14]. However, in it black holes were studied but cosmology was not treated. So in the previous work we examined the third quantization of the $f(R)$ -type gravity, using explicit form of the action which yields WDW eq. of $f(R)$ -type gravity, and we investigated the Heisenberg uncertainty relation of the universe in the example of $f(R) = R^2$ [33]. In this work we investigate the uncertainty relation of the universe in the general $f(R)$ -type gravity where tachyonic states are avoided.

We start from the effective theory of the $f(R)$ -type gravity in a flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker metric. Then a suitable change of variable is performed and WDW eq. is written down. Quantizing this model once more, we obtain the third-quantized theory of $f(R)$ -type gravity. The Heisenberg uncertainty relation is investigated in the general $f(R)$ -type gravity where tachyonic states are avoided. It will be shown that, at late times namely the scale factor of the universe is large, the spacetime becomes classical, and, at early times namely the scale factor of the universe is small, the quantum effects dominate.

In section 2, the effective theory of $f(R)$ -type gravity in the case of a flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker metric is summarized. In section 3, the third quantization of this theory is considered. In section 4, the uncertainty relation is studied in the general $f(R)$ -type gravity where tachyonic states are avoided. Summary is given in section 5.

2 Generalized gravity of $f(R)$ -type

Generalized gravity of $f(R)$ -type is one of the higher curvature gravity in which the action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R). \quad (2.1)$$

The spacetime is taken to be 4-dimensional. Here $g \equiv \det g_{\mu\nu}$ and R is the scalar curvature.

Let us consider the next action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [f(\phi) + f'(\phi)(R - \phi)], \quad (2.2)$$

where $f'(\phi) = \frac{df(\phi)}{d\phi}$ and we assume $f''(\phi) \neq 0$. It is well known that the field equations of this action are obtained from the field equations for (2.1) in which $f(R)$ is replaced with $f(\phi)$ and the following equation

$$R = \phi. \quad (2.3)$$

If we substitute Eq.(2.3) into Eq.(2.2), we formally obtain Eq.(2.1) [10].

In order to make things simple, let us consider the case of a flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker metric [34],

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \sum_{k=1}^3 (dx^k)^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Then the scalar curvature is written as

$$R = 6 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

with $\dot{a} = \frac{da}{dt}$.

Using Eqs.(2.4),(2.5), we can straightforwardly transform Eq.(2.2) to

$$S_{eff} = \int d^4x \left[a^3 f(\phi) - 6f''(\phi) \dot{\phi} a^2 \dot{a} - 6f'(\phi) a \dot{a}^2 - f'(\phi) \phi a^3 \right] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{eff}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the partial integration has been applied to the term containing $\ddot{a}$ [34][13].

Then standard canonical formalism leads to the Hamiltonian written as [33]

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = -\frac{p_a p_\phi}{6a^2 f''(\phi)} + \frac{f'(\phi) p_\phi^2}{6a^3 f''(\phi)^2} + a^3 f'(\phi) \phi - a^3 f(\phi). \quad (2.7)$$

The time reparametrization invariance means

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

This equation and the field equations of motion from (2.6) give those of (2.1) and Eq.(2.3) in the case of Eq.(2.4). Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$ can be regarded as the effective Lagrangian for Eq.(2.1) when the metric is given by Eq.(2.4) [34][13][33].

3 Third quantization

Eqs.(2.7),(2.8) lead to WDW eq.[13] whose kinematic terms are rather complicated, and it is difficult to obtain the action for the third quantization. Therefore, in this section we first make a change of a variable to make the kinematical terms simpler, and then we derive WDW eq. Next we write down the action for the third quantization which yields WDW eq. as the field equation. Then we carry out the third quantization.

Now let us make the change of a variable as follows:

$$\varphi = \varphi(\phi) \equiv \ln f'(\phi), \quad f'(\phi) = e^\varphi, \quad \phi = f'^{-1}(e^\varphi). \quad (3.1)$$

Then the Lagrangian is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = -6a\dot{a}^2 e^\varphi - 6a^2 \dot{a} e^\varphi \dot{\varphi} - a^3 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^\varphi + a^3 f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)), \quad (3.2)$$

and the Hamiltonian constraint becomes [33]

$$\frac{p_\varphi^2}{a} - p_a p_\varphi + 6a^5 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^{2\varphi} - 6a^5 e^\varphi f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Substituting

$$p_a \rightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \quad p_\varphi \rightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (3.4)$$

we obtain WDW eq.

$$-\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial a \partial \varphi} + 6a^5 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^{2\varphi} \psi - 6a^5 e^\varphi f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) \psi = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Here $\psi(a, \varphi)$ is the wave function of the universe.

Now let us comment on the possibility of tachyonic states in this WDW eq. In order to examine Eq.(3.5) in the Klein-Gordon form, we make change of variables as

$$\tau = a + \varphi + \ln a, \quad \sigma = a - \varphi - \ln a. \quad (3.6)$$

Then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \sigma^2} + U \psi = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &= 6a^5 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^{2\varphi} - 6a^5 e^\varphi f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)), \\ &= 6 \left(\frac{\tau+\sigma}{2} \right)^3 f'^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\tau+\sigma} e^{\frac{\tau-\sigma}{2}} \right) e^{\tau-\sigma} - 6 \left(\frac{\tau+\sigma}{2} \right)^4 f \left(f'^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\tau+\sigma} e^{\frac{\tau-\sigma}{2}} \right) \right) e^{\frac{\tau-\sigma}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From Eqs.(3.6) we notice that τ can be considered as the time variable, since τ is a monotonic increasing function of the scale factor a . Therefore, in order to avoid tachyonic states, $U \geq 0$ is required, since U is the square of the effective mass [24]. The condition $U \geq 0$ means

$$f'(R) \left(f'(R)R - f(R) \right) \geq 0, \quad (3.9)$$

in the original variables. Notice that this condition is satisfied, for example, $f(R) = R^2$, when $R \geq 0$, and $f(R) = \frac{1}{16\pi G}R + cR^2$, where c is a small positive constant.

The action for the third quantization to yield WDW eq.(3.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{3Q} &= \int da d\varphi \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial a} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi} + 6a^5 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^{2\varphi} \psi^2 - 6a^5 e^\varphi f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) \psi^2 \right], \\ &= \int da d\varphi \mathcal{L}_{3Q}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

If we consider a to be the time coordinate from now on, the canonical momentum which is conjugate to ψ is written as

$$p_\psi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{3Q}}{\partial (\partial \psi / \partial a)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varphi}. \quad (3.11)$$

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{3Q} &= p_\psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial a} - \mathcal{L}_{3Q}, \\ &= -\frac{2}{a} p_\psi^2 - 3a^5 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^{2\varphi} \psi^2 + 3a^5 e^\varphi f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) \psi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

In order to third quantize this system we impose the equal time commutation relation

$$[\hat{\psi}(a, \varphi), \hat{p}_\psi(a, \varphi')] = i\delta(\varphi - \varphi'). \quad (3.13)$$

We use the Schrödinger picture, so we take the operator $\hat{\psi}(a, \varphi)$ as the time independent c-number field $\psi(\varphi)$, and we substitute the momentum operator as

$$\hat{p}_\psi(a, \varphi) \rightarrow -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi(\varphi)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then we obtain the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial a} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{3Q} \Psi, \quad (3.15)$$

that is

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial a} = \left[\frac{2}{a} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi(\varphi)} \right)^2 - 3a^5 f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) e^{2\varphi} \psi^2(\varphi) + 3a^5 e^\varphi f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) \psi^2(\varphi) \right] \Psi, \quad (3.16)$$

where Ψ is the third quantized wave function of universes.

4 Uncertainty relation

In order to estimate the uncertainty, we assume the Gaussian ansatz for the solution to the Schrödinger equation (3.16) as is often done

$$\Psi(a, \varphi, \psi(\varphi)) = C \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} A(a, \varphi) [\psi(\varphi) - \eta(a, \varphi)]^2 + i B(a, \varphi) [\psi(\varphi) - \eta(a, \varphi)] \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $A(a, \varphi) = D(a, \varphi) + i I(a, \varphi)$ [35][23][25][29][33]. The real functions $D(a, \varphi)$, $I(a, \varphi)$, $B(a, \varphi)$ and $\eta(a, \varphi)$ should be determined from Eq.(3.16). C is the normalization of the wave function. The inner product of two functions Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 is defined as

$$\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \rangle = \int d\psi(\varphi) \Psi_1^*(a, \varphi, \psi(\varphi)) \Psi_2(a, \varphi, \psi(\varphi)). \quad (4.2)$$

The Heisenberg uncertainty relation can be calculated as [33]

$$(\Delta\psi(\varphi))^2 (\Delta p_\psi(\varphi))^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{I^2(a, \varphi)}{D^2(a, \varphi)} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where $(\Delta\psi(\varphi))^2 \equiv \langle \psi^2(\varphi) \rangle - \langle \psi(\varphi) \rangle^2$ and $(\Delta p_\psi(\varphi))^2 \equiv \langle p_\psi^2(\varphi) \rangle - \langle p_\psi(\varphi) \rangle^2$.

Note that to evaluate (4.3), only $A(a, \varphi)$ is necessary. Substituting the ansatz (4.1) into the Schrödinger equation(3.16), we obtain

$$-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial A(a, \varphi)}{\partial a} = \frac{2}{a} A^2(a, \varphi) + 3a^5 e^\varphi \left[f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) - e^\varphi f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

Writing

$$\ln a = \frac{\alpha}{6}, \quad (4.5)$$

we have

$$-3i \frac{\partial A(\alpha, \varphi)}{\partial \alpha} = 2A^2(\alpha, \varphi) + 3e^\alpha e^\varphi \left[f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) - e^\varphi f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

In order to solve this equation, let us write

$$A(\alpha, \varphi) = \frac{3i}{2} \frac{\partial \ln u(\alpha, \varphi)}{\partial \alpha}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $u(\alpha, \varphi)$ is a suitable function. Then $u(\alpha, \varphi)$ must satisfy the equation,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(\alpha, \varphi)}{\partial \alpha^2} + k(\varphi) e^\alpha u(\alpha, \varphi) = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$k(\varphi) = \frac{2}{3} e^\varphi \left[e^\varphi f'^{-1}(e^\varphi) - f(f'^{-1}(e^\varphi)) \right]. \quad (4.9)$$

Now let us assume the condition (3.9) to avoid tachyonic states, and let us introduce a new variable

$$z = 2\sqrt{k(\varphi)e^\alpha}, \quad (4.10)$$

which plays a role of time coordinate. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(z, \varphi)}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{\partial u(z, \varphi)}{\partial z} + u(z, \varphi) = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

As this equation can be regarded as the ordinary differential equation with respect to z with a parameter φ , this is the case when $\nu = 0$ in the following Bessel's equation

$$\frac{d^2 u(z)}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{du(z)}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{\nu^2}{z^2}\right) u(z) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Therefore we have the solution

$$u(z, \varphi) = c_J(\varphi) J_0(z) + c_Y(\varphi) Y_0(z), \quad (4.13)$$

where J_0 , Y_0 are the Bessel functions of order 0 and c_J , c_Y are arbitrary complex functions of φ .

From Eqs.(2.3),(3.1),(4.5),(4.7),(4.9),(4.10),(4.13), we can obtain

$$z = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}f'(R)[f'(R)R - f(R)]} a^3, \quad \varphi = \ln(f'(R)) \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$A(z, \varphi) = -i \frac{3z}{4} \frac{c_J(\varphi) J_1(z) + c_Y(\varphi) Y_1(z)}{c_J(\varphi) J_0(z) + c_Y(\varphi) Y_0(z)}, \quad (4.15)$$

where we have used $J'_0(z) = -J_1(z)$, $Y'_0(z) = -Y_1(z)$ [36].

Since $A(z, \varphi) = D(z, \varphi) + iI(z, \varphi)$, we have

$$D(z, \varphi) = -\frac{3i}{4\pi |c_J(\varphi) J_0(z) + c_Y(\varphi) Y_0(z)|^2} [c_J(\varphi) c_Y^*(\varphi) - c_J^*(\varphi) c_Y(\varphi)], \quad (4.16)$$

where we used $J_0(z) Y_1(z) - J_1(z) Y_0(z) = -\frac{2}{\pi z}$ [36], and

$$\begin{aligned} I(z, \varphi) = & -\frac{3z}{8 |c_J(\varphi) J_0(z) + c_Y(\varphi) Y_0(z)|^2} \times \\ & \left[2|c_J(\varphi)|^2 J_0(z) J_1(z) + 2|c_Y(\varphi)|^2 Y_0(z) Y_1(z) \right. \\ & \left. + (c_J(\varphi) c_Y^*(\varphi) + c_J^*(\varphi) c_Y(\varphi)) (J_0(z) Y_1(z) + J_1(z) Y_0(z)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

So if we assume $c_J(\varphi) c_Y^*(\varphi) - c_J^*(\varphi) c_Y(\varphi) \neq 0$ (Note that in this case both of $c_J(\varphi)$, $c_Y(\varphi)$ are nonzero.), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I^2(z, \varphi)}{D^2(z, \varphi)} = & -\frac{\pi^2 z^2}{4 [c_J(\varphi) c_Y^*(\varphi) - c_J^*(\varphi) c_Y(\varphi)]^2} \times \\ & \left[2|c_J(\varphi)|^2 J_0(z) J_1(z) + 2|c_Y(\varphi)|^2 Y_0(z) Y_1(z) \right. \\ & \left. + (c_J(\varphi) c_Y^*(\varphi) + c_J^*(\varphi) c_Y(\varphi)) (J_0(z) Y_1(z) + J_1(z) Y_0(z)) \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

At late times namely $a \rightarrow \infty$ i.e. $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(z) & \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos(z - \frac{\pi}{4}), & J_1(z) & \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin(z - \frac{\pi}{4}), \\ Y_0(z) & \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin(z - \frac{\pi}{4}), & Y_1(z) & \sim -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos(z - \frac{\pi}{4}), \end{aligned}$$

[36] we have

$$\frac{I^2(z, \varphi)}{D^2(z, \varphi)} \sim - \frac{[(|c_J(\varphi)|^2 - |c_Y(\varphi)|^2) \cos(2z) + (c_J(\varphi)c_Y^*(\varphi) + c_J^*(\varphi)c_Y(\varphi)) \sin(2z)]^2}{[c_J(\varphi)c_Y^*(\varphi) - c_J^*(\varphi)c_Y(\varphi)]^2} \sim O(1). \quad (4.19)$$

This and Eq.(4.3) mean that at late times namely $a \rightarrow \infty$, it is plausible that the spacetime becomes classical in the sense that the quantum fluctuations become minimum.

On the other hand at early times namely $a \rightarrow 0$ i.e. $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(z) &\sim 1 - \frac{z^2}{4}, & J_1(z) &\sim \frac{z}{2}, \\ Y_0(z) &\sim \frac{2}{\pi} \ln z, & Y_1(z) &\sim -\frac{2}{\pi z}, \end{aligned}$$

[36] we obtain

$$\frac{I^2(z, \varphi)}{D^2(z, \varphi)} \sim - \frac{16|c_Y(\varphi)|^4}{\pi^2[c_J(\varphi)c_Y^*(\varphi) - c_J^*(\varphi)c_Y(\varphi)]^2} (\ln z)^2 \sim \infty. \quad (4.20)$$

This and Eq.(4.3) mean that the fluctuation of the third quantized universe field becomes large at early times namely $a \rightarrow 0$. Therefore the quantum effects dominate for the small values of the scale factor of the universe.

5 Summary

In this work the third quantization of the $f(R)$ -type gravity is investigated, when the metric is a flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker one. The Heisenberg uncertainty relation of the universe is investigated in the general $f(R)$ -type gravity where tachyonic states are avoided. It has been shown that, at late times namely the scale factor of the universe is large, the spacetime becomes classical, and, at early times namely the scale factor of the universe is small, the quantum effects dominate. This result is similar to Ref.[24][25][29][33] but is not similar to Ref.[23], where it was shown that quantum effects dominate also when the scale factor is large. However, as pointed out in Ref.[25] this era corresponds to the classically forbidden region in that model[23].

As a future work, though our formulation started from the effective action (2.2), it will be interesting to quantize (2.1) directly as in Ref.[14], since the quantization of the $f(R)$ -type gravity would not be unique.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (Y.O.) would like to thank Prof. H. Ohtsuka for letting know him a clue to solve Eq. (4.8).

References

- [1] R. Utiyama and B. S. DeWitt, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962), 608.

- [2] K. Stelle, *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977), 953.
- [3] See for example, S. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *Large scale structure of spacetime* (Oxford University Press, London, 1973).
- [4] H. Nariai, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **46** (1971), 433
H. Nariai and K. Tomita, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **46** (1971), 776.
- [5] A.A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Lett.* **B91** (1980), 99.
- [6] A.G. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* **116** (1998), 1009
S. Perlmutter et al., *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999), 565.
- [7] B.A. Reid et al., *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **404** (2010), 60
W.J. Percival et al., *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **401** (2009), 2148
M. Hicken et al., *Astrophys. J.* **700** (2009), 1097
R. Kessler et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **185** (2009), 32
A. Vikhlinin et al., *Astrophys. J.* **692** (2009), 1060
A. Mantz et al., *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **406** (2010), 1759
A.G. Riess et al., *Astrophys. J.* **699** (2009), 539
S.H. Suyu et al., *Astrophys. J.* **711** (2010), 201
R. Fadelly et al., *Astrophys. J.* **711** (2010), 246
R. Massey et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **172** (2007), 239
L. Fu et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **479** (2008), 9
T. Schrabback et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **516** (2010), A63.
- [8] E. Komatsu et al. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011), 18
D. Larson et al. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011), 16
N. Jarosik et al. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011), 14
C.L. Bennet et al. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011), 17.
- [9] The pioneering work is S.M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M.S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004), 043528.
- [10] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010), 451 is a good review.
- [11] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4** (2007), 115.
- [12] For a review, see K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S. Odintsov, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* (2012) URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10509-012-1181-8>
- [13] A. Shojai and F. Shojai, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **40** (2008), 1967.
- [14] M. Faizal, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **114** (2012), 400.
- [15] B.S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev.* **160** (1967), 1113.
- [16] T. Banks, *Nucl. Phys.* **B309** (1988), 493.
- [17] V.A. Rubakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B214** (1988), 503.
- [18] M. McGuigan, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988), 3031; *ibid* **D39** (1989), 2229.

- [19] S.B. Giddings and A. Strominger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989), 481.
- [20] A. Hosoya and M. Morikawa, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989), 1123.
- [21] W. Fischler, I. Klebanov, J. Polchinski and L. Susskind, *Nucl. Phys.* **B327** (1989), 157.
- [22] Y. Xiang and L. Liu, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **8** (1991), 52.
- [23] H. Pohle, *Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991), 257.
- [24] S. Abe, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993), 718.
- [25] T. Horiguchi, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993), 5764.
- [26] M.A. Castagnino, A. Gangui, F.D. Mazzitelli and I.I. Tkachev, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10** (1993), 2495.
- [27] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994), 2581.
- [28] Y. Ohkuwa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A13** (1998), 4091.
- [29] L.O. Pimentel and C. Mora, *Phys. Lett.* **A280** (2001), 191.
- [30] M. Faizal, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A27** (2012), 1250007.
- [31] G. Calcagni, S. Gielen and D. Oriti, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (2012), 105005.
- [32] M. Faizal, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (2012), 215009.
- [33] Y. Ohkuwa and Y. Ezawa, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (2012), 215004.
- [34] J. Souza and V. Faraoni, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24** (2007), 3637.
- [35] R. Floreanini, C.T. Hill and R. Jackiw, *Ann. Phys. NY* **175** (1987), 345.
- [36] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover publishing, New York, 1972).